Modified 02-03

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

valid Givib contact number.				1	,	
NO 8 2007 TRANSMITTAL			Application / Conf. No.	09	9/765,907 9367	
			Filing Date	J:	anuary 19, 2001	
FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			First Named Inventor	S	tephen M. Trimberger	
(to be diserved for all correspondence after initial filing)			Examiner Name	C	arl G. Colin	
Mail Stop: Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences			Art Unit	2	136	
Express Mail Receipt No.			Patent No.			
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Numb	er X	7-714 US		
		ENCLOSUR	RES (check all that apply)			
Fee Transn		(with F	ment Papers Recordation Cover Sheet)		After Allowance Communication to	
Amendmen		Declar	Declaration / Oath		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Preliminary Amendment After Final		Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
I —	Affidavit(s)/declaration(s)		Petition -		Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request Change Status to LARGE ENTITY					Return Receipt Postcard	
Express Ab	Express Abandonment Request		To Convert a Provisional Application		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Information Disclosure Statement		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address				
Substitute PTO-1449(s) IDS by Applicant (PTO/SB/08A)		Terminal Disclaimer				
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Request for Refund				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		Remarks				
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
	SIGNATUR	OF APPL	ICANT, ATTORNEY,	OR A	GENT	
Firm <i>or</i> Customer Number Attn:	Michael\R. Hard	afwa y 🗎	24309 (Customer Number)		Reg. Number 52,992	
Signature	Wilk					
Date June 6, 2007 Charge any additional fees required/credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account Number: 24-0040						
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 on this date:						
Typed or Printed Name June Matthews						
Signature July Author Date June 6, 2007						
his collection of information is rrequired by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to botain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file						

This collection of information is rreduired by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to botain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) ar application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Petent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/17 (10-02)
Approved for use through 10-31-2002. OMB 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2007

Patent fees are subject to annual revision

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

JUN 0 8 2007

(\$) 500.00

Complete if Known					
Application / Conf. No.	09/765,907 / 9367				
Filing Date	January 19, 2001				
First Named Inventor	Stephen M. Trimberger				
Examiner Name	Carl G. Colin				
Art Unit	2136				
Attorney Docket No.	X-714 US				

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)	FEE CALCULATION (continued)			
The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees, any additional fees required, and credit any over payments to:		3. ADDITIONAL FEES Large Entity Fee Fee		
Deposit Account	Code	(\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
Denosit		130	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
Account Number 24-0040		- 50	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet.	
Deposit Account XILINX, INC.	1812	2,520	For filing a request for exparte reexamination	
Name	1804	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
	1805	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
FEE CALCULATION	1251	120	Extension for reply within first month	
1. BASIC FILING FEE	1252	450	Extension for reply within second month	
Large Entity	1253	1020	Extension for reply within third month	
Fee Fee Description Fee	1254	1,530	Extension for reply within fourth month	
Paid Code (\$)	1255	2,080	Extension for reply within fifth month	
1001 770 Utility filing fee	1401	500	Notice of Appeal	
1002 330 Design filing fee	1402	500	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	\$500
1003 510 Plant filing fee 1004 790 Reissue filing fee	1403	1000	Request for oral hearing	
105 160 Provisional filing fee	1451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
	1452	110	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)	1453	1,500	Petition to revive - unintentional	
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE	1501	1,400	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
Extra below Fee Paid	1460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
Total Claims -20** = X = Indep. Claims - 3** = X X = Indep. Claims	1807	50	Petitions related to provisional applications	
Multiple Dependent Claims X =	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see below	8021	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
Large Entity Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$)	1809	790 `	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))	
1202 18 Claims in excess of 20 1201 86 Independent claims in excess of 3 1203 290 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	1810	790	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))	
1204 86 **Reissue independent claims over original patent 1205 18 **Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	1801	790	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
	Other fe	e (specify))	
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)	*Reduc	ed by Basi	c Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)	500.00

SUBMITTED BY Complete (if applicable)					
Name (Print/Type)	Michael R Hardaway	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	52,992	Telephone	408-879-6149
Signature	MILIRAL	4		Date	06-06-2007

PATENT Conf. No.: 9367



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE

őpellant:

Stephen M. Trimberger

Assignee:

Xilinx, Inc.

Title:

"Copy Protection Without Non-Volatile Memory"

Ser. No.:

09/765,907

File Date:

January 19, 2001

Examiner:

Carl G. Colin

Art Unit:

2136

Docket No.: X-714 US

Conf. No.:

9367

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPEAL BRIEF

Sir:

This is an Appeal Brief submitted pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 41.37 for the abovereferenced patent application.

I. Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest is XILINX, Inc. The above referenced patent application is assigned to XILINX, Inc.

II. Related Appeals and Interferences

Appellant is unaware of any related appeals, interferences or judicial proceedings.

III. Status of Claims

Claims 1-4, 7, 12-13, 15, 21, and 44 are rejected and are presented for appeal. Claims 5-6, 8-11, 14, 16-20, and 22-43 are cancelled. The appealed claims are in the attached Appendix of Appealed Claims.

IV. Status of Amendments

No amendment has been filed after the Final Rejection.

V. Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

In the embodiment set forth in claim 1, the invention provides a method of securing communication of configuration data between a field programmable gate array (FPGA) and an external storage device, the method comprises counting a first number of oscillations of a first oscillator on the FPGA during a predetermined time interval (FIG. 6, #62, #66; [0030], [0031]). A second number of oscillations of a second oscillator on the FPGA is also counted during the predetermined time interval (FIG. 6, #64, #66; [0030], [0031]). A ratio between the first number and second number of oscillations is generated. The ratio is a fingerprint that represents an inherent manufacturing process characteristic unique to the FPGA (FIG. 6, #66; [0031]). Encrypted configuration data is transmitted from the storage device to the FPGA (FIG. 2, #26; [0022]). The encrypted configuration data is decrypted in the FPGA using the fingerprint as a decryption key to extract the configuration data (FIG. 2, #28; [0023]).

In another embodiment as found in claim 12, a field programmable gate array (FPGA) is provided. The FPGA comprises a plurality of configurable logic elements being programmable with configuration data to implement a desired circuit design (FIG. 1, #12; [0018]). A fingerprint element on the FPGA generates a fingerprint representing inherent manufacturing process variations unique to the FPGA (FIG. 1, #18; [0018]). The fingerprint element includes first and second oscillators (FIG. 6, #62, #64; [0031]) and a sensing circuit (FIG. 6, #66; [0031]). The sensing circuit includes means for counting a first number of oscillations of the first oscillator and counting a second number of oscillations of the second oscillator during a predetermined time interval [FIG. 6, #66; 0031] and means for generating a fingerprint as a ratio between the first number and second number of oscillations [FIG. 6, #66; 0031]. The FPGA further comprises a decryption circuit (FIG. 1, #16; [0018], [0019]) coupled to receive encrypted configuration data. The decryption circuit is configured to decrypt the

encrypted configuration data using the fingerprint as a decryption key to extract the configuration data.

In the embodiment set forth in claim 7, the first and second oscillators comprise configurable logic blocks of the FPGA (FIG. 1, #18; FIG. 6, #62, #64; [0031]).

In the embodiment set forth in claim 44, the generating of the ratio includes dividing the first number of oscillations by the second number of oscillations ([0031]).

VI. Grounds of Rejection

Claims 1-4, 7, 12-13, 15, 21, and 44 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over "Erickson" (U.S. Patent No. 5,970,142 to Erickson) in view of "IBM-RNG" (IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin "Integrated Circuit Compatible Random Number Generator").

VII. Argument

The rejection of claims 1-4, 7, 12-13, 15, 21, and 44 should be reversed because the Examiner has not established a *prima facie* case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over the Erickson-IBM-RNG combination.

The Examiner has failed to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness of claims 1-4, 7, 12-13, 15, 21, and 44 over the Erickson-IBM-RNG combination because all the limitations have not been shown to be suggested by the combination.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 12, 13, 15

Claim 1 includes limitations of counting a first number of oscillations of a first oscillator on the FPGA during a predetermined time interval; counting a second number of oscillations of a second oscillator on the FPGA during the predetermined time interval; and generating a ratio between the first number and second number of oscillations, wherein the ratio is a fingerprint that represents an inherent manufacturing process characteristic unique to the FPGA. These limitations are not suggested by either of Erickson or IBM-RNG.

X-714 US 09/765,907 PATENT Conf. No.: 9367

The clear teachings of IBM-RNG do not suggest the counting of the first and second numbers of oscillations for first and second oscillators. IBM-RNG's figure shows two ring oscillators 2 and 4 and only a single divide-by-N counter for oscillator 2. For the second oscillator 4, the clock port of the divide-by-2 stage flip-flop #6 is connected to the second oscillator, and the not-Q data port of the flip-flop #6 is connected to the flip-flop 6 data-in port. IBM-RNG's description states, "The purpose of the divide-by-two stage 6 between the high frequency oscillator 4 and the sampling flip-flip 8 is to obtain a 50% duty cycle at the sample input to avoid biased outputs." IBM-RNG's description further states. "Bias can be further reduced by passing the sampling flip-flop output through the Exclusive OR 10 and latch 12." (p. 334-335; the accuracy of the page citation could not be verified because no page numbers are present on the PTO-provided IBM-RNG document) Those skilled in the art will recognize that the output of IBM-RNG's second oscillator 4 is sampled, which is clearly not suggestive of counting the number of oscillations. Thus, IBM-RNG's figure and description clearly describe a random number generator in which the number of oscillations is counted for only one oscillator, and that count is used to sample the second oscillator.

Since IBM-RNG does not count the numbers of oscillations of first and second oscillators, IBM-RNG does not suggest the limitations of generating a ratio between the first number and second number of oscillations. Furthermore, not only does IBM-RNG fail to suggest generating the ratio of two oscillator counts and using the ratio as a fingerprint, but the teachings of IBM-RNG teach away from the use of the ratio as a fingerprint. As explained in paragraphs [0030] and [0031] of the present application the two oscillator counts and the ratio between the counts may be used to avoid fingerprint drift. In contrast, IBM-RNG's approach seeks to generate non-deterministic random binary numbers. IBM-RNG's approach seeks to "only use the jitter oscillator output as a seed for a pseudo-random shift register, which is capable of high statistical quality" in order to avoid the deterministic qualities of a conventional feedback shift register approach, from which "it is possible to predict future or past results once the structure of the device and one output are known." Thus, the claimed use of the ratio of oscillator counts is clearly not suggested by IBM-RNG since the claimed ratio is for

avoiding fingerprint drift, as compared to IBM-RNG's sampling that seeks to make a key value unpredictable.

Claims 2-4 depend from claim 1, and the limitations are not shown to be suggested for the reasons set forth above.

Independent claim 12 is directed to an FPGA and includes functional limitations similar to those discussed above in regards to claim 1. Thus, the limitations of claim 12 have not been shown to be suggested by the Erickson-IBM-RNG combination.

Claims 13 and 15 depend from claim 12, and the Examiner has not shown that the Erickson-IBM-RNG combination suggests the limitations of these claims for the reasons set forth for claim 12.

Appellant respectfully submits that the Examiner has not established a *prima* facie case of obviousness for claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 12, 13, 15 because he has not shown that all the limitations of the claims are shown or suggested by the Erickson-IBM-RNG combination.

Claims 7 and 21

Claim 7 depends from claim 1, and the Erickson-IBM-RNG combination neither shows nor suggests all the limitations of claim 1 as explained above. Furthermore, the Examiner has not shown that the limitations of claim 7 are suggested by the Erickson-IBM-RNG combination. Claim 7 includes the limitations that the first and second oscillators comprise configurable logic blocks of the FPGA.

IBM-RNG does not mention configurable logic blocks of the FPGA. IBM-RNG generally states, "The two-oscillator, or jitter oscillator, approach is of interest because it can be constructed entirely of standard logic circuits." IBM-RNG's figures shows standard logic circuits such as inverters, flip-flops, XOR logic gates, a multiplexer, and a feedback shift register. Beyond these logic elements there is no apparent suggestion of using configurable logic blocks of the FPGA. Also, there is no specific suggestion of the first and second oscillators comprising configurable logic blocks of the FPGA. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that IBM-RNG does not suggest the limitations of claim 7.

Claim 21 depends from claim 12 and includes limitations similar to those of claim 7. Thus, the Examiner has not shown that the Erickson-IBM-RNG combination suggests the limitations of claim 21 for the reasons set forth above.

Appellant respectfully submits that the Examiner has not established a *prima* facie case of obviousness for claims 7 and 21 because he has not shown that all the limitations of the claims are shown or suggested by the Erickson-IBM-RNG combination.

Claim 44

Claim 44 depends from claim 1, and the Erickson-IBM-RNG combination neither shows nor suggests all the limitations of claim 1 as explained above. Furthermore, the Examiner has not shown that the limitations of claim 44 are suggested by the Erickson-IBM-RNG combination. Claim 44 includes the limitations of the generating of the ratio including dividing the first number of oscillations by the second number of oscillations.

As explained above in regards to claim 1, IBM-RNG does not suggest the counting of the numbers of oscillations of two oscillators. Thus, for claim 44, IBM-RNG could neither teach nor suggest the claimed dividing of the first number of oscillations by the second number of oscillations. Therefore, the Examiner has not shown that the Erickson-IBM-RNG combination suggests the limitations of claim 44.

Appellant respectfully submits that the Examiner has not established a *prima* facie case of obviousness for claim 44 because he has not shown that all the limitations of the claims are shown or suggested by the Erickson-IBM-RNG combination.

PATENT Conf. No.: 9367

VIII. Conclusion

In view of the above, Appellant submits that the rejections are improper, the claimed invention is patentable, and that the rejections of claims 1-4, 7, 12-13, 15, 21, and 44 should be reversed. Appellant respectfully requests reversal of the rejections as applied to the appealed claims and allowance of the entire application.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael R. Hardaway Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 52,992

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, on June 6, 2007.

Signature

Julie Matthews

Name

APPENDIX OF APPEALED CLAIMS FOR APPLICATION NO. 09/765,907

1. A method of securing communication of configuration data between a field programmable gate array (FPGA) and an external storage device, the method comprising:

counting a first number of oscillations of a first oscillator on the FPGA during a predetermined time interval;

counting a second number of oscillations of a second oscillator on the FPGA during the predetermined time interval;

generating a ratio between the first number and second number of oscillations, wherein the ratio is a fingerprint that represents an inherent manufacturing process characteristic unique to the FPGA;

transmitting encrypted configuration data from the storage device to the FPGA; and

decrypting the encrypted configuration data in the FPGA using the fingerprint as a decryption key to extract the configuration data.

- The method of Claim 1, further comprising:
 configuring the FPGA using the configuration data.
- 3. The method of Claim 2, further comprising: transmitting the fingerprint from the FPGA to an encryption circuit; encrypting the configuration data using the fingerprint as an encryption key; and storing the encrypted configuration data in the storage device.
- 4. The method of Claim 1, wherein the fingerprint is generated during power-up of the FPGA.
- 7. The method of Claim 1, wherein the first and second oscillators comprise configurable logic blocks of the FPGA.

12. A field programmable gate array (FPGA), comprising:

a plurality of configurable logic elements being programmable with configuration data to implement a desired circuit design;

a fingerprint element for generating a fingerprint representing inherent manufacturing process variations unique to the FPGA, wherein the fingerprint element includes,

first and second oscillators; and a sensing circuit including,

means for counting a first number of oscillations of the first oscillator and counting a second number of oscillations of the second oscillator during a predetermined time interval;

means for generating a fingerprint as a ratio between the first number and second number of oscillations; and

a decryption circuit coupled to receive encrypted configuration data, the decryption circuit configured to decrypt the encrypted configuration data using the fingerprint as a decryption key to extract the configuration data.

13. The FPGA of Claim 12, further comprising:

a configuration circuit for configuring the configurable logic elements with the configuration data.

- 15. The FPGA of Claim 12, wherein the configuration data is encrypted using the fingerprint as an encryption key to generate the encrypted configuration data.
- 21. The FPGA of Claim 12, wherein the first and second oscillators comprise configurable logic blocks.
- 44. The method of claim 1, wherein the generating the ratio includes dividing the first number of oscillations by the second number of oscillations.

PATENT Conf. No.: 9367

APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE FOR APPLICATION NO. 09/765,907

Appellant is unaware of any evidence submitted in this application pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.130, 1.131, and 1.132.

X-714 US 09/765,907 PATENT Conf. No.: 9367

APPENDIX OF RELATED PROCEEDINGS FOR APPLICATION NO. 09/765,907

Appellant is unaware of any related appeals, interferences or judicial proceedings.